

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Admin COMMISSIONER FOR PATTENTS
DO. Bio. 169
July 169
July

DATE MAILED: 02/20/2004

APPLICATION NO.	TILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/047,826	10/23/2001	Jaffrey B. Hoke	4569C (CIP)	7857
,	see 02/20/2014		EXAMINER	
Engelhard Co	gporation		MUDINA SANAH	IRIA, MARIBEL
101 Wood Aw			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 770 Iselin, NJ 08	020		1754	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/047,826	HOKE ET AL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	X
	Maribel Medina	1754	71/
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE STATES OF THE COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE STATES OF THE COMMUNICATE OF THE PRIOR OF THE	FION.  CFR (135(a) In no avent, however, may a taken.  So, a reply within the statutory maximum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC.	reply be timely filed  try (30) days will be considered firmly  NTHS from the making date of this commun	ncation
Status			
1)☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 23 October 2001.		
29V This action is FINAL 2b)	■ This action is non-final.		
a) Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the met	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the app	lication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.			
<li>7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li>			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 23 October 200	1 is/are: a) accepted or b)	objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abe	ance. See 37 CFR 1.00(8).	124(4)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	e correction is required if the draw	rg(s) is objected to: See 37 Or K i	152
11) The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner, Note the attach	led Office Action of form 1.0	ion.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	, § 119(a)-(d) or (t).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received.	A Tallandara Ma	
2. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received in	Application No	200
3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have be	en received in this National Sta	igo
application from the International	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies i	iot received.	
Ť.			
Attachment(s)		w Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-892)	Paper Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT)	TO(PRING) 5) Notice	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)

Peri

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_ U.S. Present and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Application/Control Number: 10/047,826 Art Unit: 1754

### DETAILED ACTION

## Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 & Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
  - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one wear prior to the date of problemion for patent in the United States.
- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
  obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A potent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 10.07 of that this, if the distinctions between the subject matter sought to be patiented and been or at are such that the mighest matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary slotl in the art to which said subject matter pertunat. Paternability shall not be negatived by the matter in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-3, 5-7, 10-12 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over US Patent No. 4.780.445 (June).

Jung discloses a method for catalyst aging wherein a catalyst containing manganese sulfate, iron sulfate, ceria and alumina, is exposed to a flow of wet (10 % volume % H<sub>2</sub>O) air and to a flow of a gas generated from the combustion of propane in excess oxygen with 5 ppm of SO<sub>2</sub> (See Examples 2 and 3 in col. 4 and Table I).

The claimed limitation of "continuous flow of a gaseous composition" would have been present once the Jung method is carried out for 300 hours with a flow of wet air or for 250 hours with a gas generated from the combustion of propane in excess oxygen with 5 ppm of SO<sub>2</sub> Application/Control Number: 10/047,826 Art Unit: 1754

Note, that Jung does not disclose the interruption of the air flow rate or the combustion gas flow rate. Therefore it is reasonable to assume that the flow rates as disclosed are continuous. Note In 18. Best. 195 USPQ at 433, footnote 4 (CCPA 1977) as to the providing of this rejection made above under 35 USC 102.

Alternatively, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have carried out the aging with a continuous flow, since it is well within the expected skill of the technician to operate a process continuously (See In re Dilnot, 1319 f2d 138 USPQ 248 (CCPA 1963).

 Claims 4, 8-9, 13, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jung

Jung applies herein as above. Jung discloses the instantly claimed manganese-containing catalyst aging method. However, fails to disclose treating a catalyst comprising cryptomelane.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have treated any catalyst, such as one comprising cryptomelane, with the aging method disclosed by Jung since this is a common and known method for aging catalysts which will enhance the a catalyst effectiveness.

# Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to Maribel Medina whose telephone number is (571) 272-1355
 The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

Application/Control Number, 10/047,826 Art Unit: 1754

If attempts to reach the examiner by tolephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on (571) 272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspfo.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (oil-free)

> Maribel Medina Examiner Art Unit 1754